

## Jenna Kay

---

**From:** Don Steinke <crvancouverusa@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 14, 2024 11:55 AM  
**To:** Jenna Kay  
**Subject:** Re: Review Requested: Clark Co. Climate Project Prioritization Framework

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

**EXTERNAL:** This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

From Don Steinke

Here's my initial feedback.

For clarity, instead of saying "**Quantified MT GHGs reduced**" say "Quantified Metric Tons Greenhouse Gas equivalents reduced"

re **Co-benefits:** They do exist, but it's not important to spend much time talking about them.

Instead of **Cost**, say **Cost benefit**.

re **Unintended impacts:** Consider the good impacts, as well as the impacts of doing nothing.

Don s

On Tue, Aug 13, 2024 at 4:52 PM Jenna Kay <[Jenna.Kay@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Jenna.Kay@clark.wa.gov)> wrote:

Good afternoon Climate Project Community Advisory Group (CAG),

As you may recall from the July 24 CAG meeting, the project team introduced a prioritization framework, or set of criteria, to analyze possible draft climate policies, to help inform future discussions and recommendations by the group.

We are following-up today to provide the attached summary document on the proposed prioritization framework that we introduced you to in July and to provide an opportunity for you to review it more closely and provide feedback.

**Review Requested:** Please review the attached document and send your responses to the following two questions to [jenna.kay@clark.wa.gov](mailto:jenna.kay@clark.wa.gov) by end of day Sunday, Aug. 25.

1. Do you feel that the criteria are easy to understand and reflect the local context for Clark County's climate element project? If not, what changes would you suggest?
2. State guidance requires inclusion of some criteria (Effectiveness, Timeframe, Co-benefits, and Equity). Of the additional recommended criteria (Cost, Unintended Impacts, Feasibility, and Authority) are there any that seem less relevant or unnecessary?

Thanks in advance for your review!

Regards,

Jenna

*P.S. Please do not reply all to this email due to the Open Public Meetings Act.*



**Jenna Kay** she/her/hers  
Planner III  
COMMUNITY PLANNING

564.397.4968

