

## Jenna Kay

---

**From:** Pasitos Gigantes <info@pasitosgigantes.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 14, 2024 1:04 PM  
**To:** Jenna Kay  
**Cc:** alana@pihealthboard.org; andsmith360@gmail.com; annfoster5093@gmail.com; zenbrent@yahoo.com; vintagetrolley513@gmail.com; crVancouverUSA@gmail.com; jkenefsky@Vancouverusa.com; jessicabrown2116@comcast.net; monjejuan920@gmail.com; ga@ccreators.com; kmiucag@protonmail.com; zazuetaonica0813@gmail.com; nelson.holmberg@nwnatural.com; nick.massie@rotschyinc.com; noelle@biaofclarkcounty.org; strawdesign22@gmail.com; sunrise.cag@gmail.com; Maywantee@aol.com; tkluth@mitchell-bros.com; Michelle Belkot; sciborowski@kearnswest.com; nmetildi@kearnswest.com; TRLunsford@Parametrix.com; dh@capastrategies.com; Amy Koski; Harrison Husting  
**Subject:** Re: Review Requested: Clark Co. Climate Project Prioritization Framework

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

**EXTERNAL:** This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Jenna,

Thanks for sharing the climate policy draft framework prioritization.

1. Do you feel that the criteria are easy to understand and reflect the local context for Clark County's climate element project? If not, what changes would you suggest?

To me it's easy to understand and do reflect the local context for Clark Co. climate element project.

2. State guidance requires inclusion of some criteria (Effectiveness, Timeframe, Co-benefits, and Equity). Of the additional recommended criteria (Cost, Unintended Impacts, Feasibility, and Authority) are there any that seem less relevant or unnecessary?

I think all the bullet points are necessary and relevant. I personally like that we have in the criteria the cost of inaction to reduce GHG emissions. We can't afford to do nothing to reduce GHG emissions.

Just a thought about adding some kind of:

- Financial/tax incentives for business and home owners to upgrade to energy-efficient appliances and systems.
- Supporting local organic farming practices / educate farmers on sustainable methods.

Thank you

On Tue, Aug 13, 2024 at 4:52 PM Jenna Kay <[Jenna.Kay@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Jenna.Kay@clark.wa.gov)> wrote:

Good afternoon Climate Project Community Advisory Group (CAG),

As you may recall from the July 24 CAG meeting, the project team introduced a prioritization framework, or set of criteria, to analyze possible draft climate policies, to help inform future discussions and recommendations by the group.

We are following-up today to provide the attached summary document on the proposed prioritization framework that we introduced you to in July and to provide an opportunity for you to review it more closely and provide feedback.

**Review Requested:** Please review the attached document and send your responses to the following two questions to [jenna.kay@clark.wa.gov](mailto:jenna.kay@clark.wa.gov) by end of day Sunday, Aug. 25.

1. Do you feel that the criteria are easy to understand and reflect the local context for Clark County's climate element project? If not, what changes would you suggest?
2. State guidance requires inclusion of some criteria (Effectiveness, Timeframe, Co-benefits, and Equity). Of the additional recommended criteria (Cost, Unintended Impacts, Feasibility, and Authority) are there any that seem less relevant or unnecessary?

Thanks in advance for your review!

Regards,

Jenna

*P.S. Please do not reply all to this email due to the Open Public Meetings Act.*



**Jenna Kay** she/her/hers  
Planner III  
COMMUNITY PLANNING

564.397.4968



--

*Gabriela Mendoza/Ewing*

Pronouns: she|her|ella

Executive Director | Hispanic Disability Support SWWA (Pasitos Gigantes)

Office: 360-583-0792 | Mobile: 360-241-2280

"I wish for a world that views disability, mental or physical, not as a hindrance but as unique attributes that can be seen as powerful assets if given the right opportunities" – Oliver Sacks