

Jenna Kay

From: Nick Massie - Rotschy Inc. <nick.massie@rotschyinc.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 14, 2024 10:37 AM
To: Jenna Kay
Subject: Re: Review Requested: Clark Co. Climate Project Prioritization Framework

Yes I did not know that and there was nothing in the doc that made me know that. Perhaps the others will have the same question.

On Aug 14, 2024, at 10:35 AM, Jenna Kay <Jenna.Kay@clark.wa.gov> wrote:

Hi Nick,

Thanks for your question. PAT is our shorthand for the project's Partner Agency Team, the third advisory group providing support to the project. It's made up of county staff from multiple departments and other agency staff (such as from the Ports, C-Tran, Utilities, Cities, etc.).

Our expectation is that they can provide feedback to us about how feasible it would be for the county to implement policies relevant to their agency/department based on things like: what type of barriers/challenges they are aware of that may prevent them from being able to implement a policy, if their team would have the authority to implement a policy, or if they would need additional resources/staff.

Does that answer your question?

Thanks,
Jenna

<image001.png>

Jenna Kay she/her/hers
Planner III
COMMUNITY PLANNING

564.397.4968

<image002.png> <image003.png> <image004.png>

From: Nick Massie - Rotschy Inc. <nick.massie@rotschyinc.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 14, 2024 10:28 AM
To: Jenna Kay <Jenna.Kay@clark.wa.gov>
Subject: Re: Review Requested: Clark Co. Climate Project Prioritization Framework

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

What does PAT mean in Feasibility and Authority?

On Aug 13, 2024, at 4:52 PM, Jenna Kay <Jenna.Kay@clark.wa.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon Climate Project Community Advisory Group (CAG),

As you may recall from the July 24 CAG meeting, the project team introduced a prioritization framework, or set of criteria, to analyze possible draft climate policies, to help inform future discussions and recommendations by the group.

We are following-up today to provide the attached summary document on the proposed prioritization framework that we introduced you to in July and to provide an opportunity for you to review it more closely and provide feedback.

Review Requested: Please review the attached document and send your responses to the following two questions to jenna.kay@clark.wa.gov by end of day Sunday, Aug. 25.

1. Do you feel that the criteria are easy to understand and reflect the local context for Clark County's climate element project? If not, what changes would you suggest?
2. State guidance requires inclusion of some criteria (Effectiveness, Timeframe, Co-benefits, and Equity). Of the additional recommended criteria (Cost, Unintended Impacts, Feasibility, and Authority) are there any that seem less relevant or unnecessary?

Thanks in advance for your review!

Regards,
Jenna

P.S. Please do not reply all to this email due to the Open Public Meetings Act.

<image001.png>

Jenna Kay she/her/hers
Planner III
COMMUNITY PLANNING

564.397.4968

<image002.png> <image003.png> <image004.png>

<2024-0813 Prioritization Framework Draft.pdf>

Nick Massie
Rotschy, Inc.
www.rotschyinc.com
O (360) 334-3100
C (360) 949-3409

Nick Massie
Rotschy, Inc.
www.rotschyinc.com
O (360) 334-3100
C (360) 949-3409