

**From:** [Rebecca Messinger](#)  
**To:** [Cnty 2025 Comp Plan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Norbert Halberg - HABITAT REVIEW HAB 2017-00122, for parcel # 9860381540  
**Date:** Thursday, September 19, 2024 11:33:03 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[image003.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)

---

Hello,

Please see the below public comments.

Thank you!



**Rebecca Messinger**  
Clerk to the Council  
COUNTY MANAGER'S OFFICE

564-397-4305



---

**From:** Kathleen Otto <Kathleen.Otto@clark.wa.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 19, 2024 11:32 AM  
**To:** Rebecca Messinger <Rebecca.Messinger@clark.wa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Norbert Halberg - HABITAT REVIEW HAB 2017-00122, for parcel # 9860381540



**Kathleen Otto**  
County Manager

564.397.2458



---

**From:** Clark County Citizens United, Inc. <[cccuinc@yahoo.com](mailto:cccuinc@yahoo.com)>

**Sent:** Thursday, September 19, 2024 11:27 AM

**To:** Gary Medvigy <[Gary.Medvigy@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Gary.Medvigy@clark.wa.gov)>; Karen Bowerman <[Karen.Bowerman@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Karen.Bowerman@clark.wa.gov)>; Michelle Belkot <[Michelle.Belkot@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Michelle.Belkot@clark.wa.gov)>; Glen Yung <[Glen.Yung@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Glen.Yung@clark.wa.gov)>; Sue Marshall <[Sue.Marshall@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Sue.Marshall@clark.wa.gov)>; Kathleen Otto <[Kathleen.Otto@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Kathleen.Otto@clark.wa.gov)>; Oliver Orjiako <[Oliver.Orjiako@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Oliver.Orjiako@clark.wa.gov)>; Jose Alvarez <[Jose.Alvarez@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Jose.Alvarez@clark.wa.gov)>; Brent Davis <[Brent.Davis@clark.wa.gov](mailto:Brent.Davis@clark.wa.gov)>; CommDev OA Land Use <[CommDevOALandUse@clark.wa.gov](mailto:CommDevOALandUse@clark.wa.gov)>; Norb Halberg <[norbhalberg@gmail.com](mailto:norbhalberg@gmail.com)>

**Subject:** Norbert Halberg - HABITAT REVIEW HAB 2017-00122, for parcel # 9860381540

**EXTERNAL:** This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Clark County Council  
September 18, 2024  
P.O. Box 5000  
Vancouver, Washington 98666

FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD

Re: **Norbert Halberg - HABITAT REVIEW HAB 2017-00122**, for parcel # **9860381540**, located at **26311 NE 207<sup>th</sup> Street, Battle Ground, Washington 98604**, **TYPE I STAFF REPORT AND DECISION, issued March 2, 2018.**

Dear Councilors,

Clark County Citizens United, Inc. has reviewed the **TYPE I STAFF REPORT AND DECISION, issued March 2, 2018** for the Norbert Halberg parcel. As CCCU researched this report and staff requirements, it was apparent that staff did not do due diligence in their research for this parcel. There were a number of mistakes, but the most important one was claiming a stream that is not on the parcel. CCCU needed to go back to a land subdivision dated and filed on July 9, 1912. From that point, CCCU researched all activities of the land until we reached the topic to be discussed. But a review of prior activity must be noted, before discussing this application and determination.

We've encountered a mapping error on the Scott's Short Plan map, created in 2008 by Lawson Surveying. This applied to the parent parcel #120170000 that incorrectly shows a mapped non-fish bearing stream traversing North to South on this parcel map. We are identifying this error to allow corrections to occur under WAC 332-130-040. The North - South stream on the Plat map does not appear on the National Wetlands Inventory map and does not appear on Washington Department of Natural Resource (DNR) maps.

To begin the narrative of the data for the Norbert Halberg parcel at its inception, Clark County Citizens United, Inc. must start with the original November 7, 2008 land

division of a ten acre parcel, performed by Scott Lee, into two five acre parcels, called the Scott's Short Plat. One of them eventually became the Halberg property. We see **in 2000 and 2001 that two Clark County and Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Conversion Harvest Permits were applied for and approved.** This is confirmed by GIS aerial photography, by a clear cut image, and Tim Halme, a forestry consultant from HFI consultants. He states in his email to Angie Merrill and Kevin Tyler:

*“Hi Kevin and Angie,*

***The property was logged in 2001 under a Class IVG conversions permit through the DNR. (Permit No. 2303009 date 11/14/2000 and another DNR Class III permit # 2903490 dated 6/25/2001. This conversion permit allowed the landowner to harvest under the 20 acre exemption rules which allows timber harvest within 29feet of a Class III stream and no buffers on Ns or Np streams. After logging, the landowner cleaned up the logging slash by piling and burning. We believe we are exempt from the Habitat permit requirements because the timber harvest and slash clearing occurred legally under the aforementioned permit(s). If you wish I will fax you a copy of the permits. Thanks.”***

The harvest was performed by Stephenson Brother Logging, LLC. For Scott Lee, in preparation for the Sott's Short Plat. A conversion harvest indicates another use and does not require replanting of the vegetation. A preliminary plat survey of a short plat land division was presented by Lawson Survey on October 23, 2008. That plat map showed a stream going North and South on the future Halberg parcel. When questioning Mr. Lee regarding the stream, he said the surveyor put it on the map, at the direction of county staff. No national stream or state Department of Natural Resources (DNR) stream maps show such a stream.

That preliminary survey was never filed with the county at that time. When the forestry and land consultant filed the documents for the Short Plat, on November 7, 2008, **the 75 foot buffer to a North-South “stream” was redacted from the preliminary plat map.** Email conversation between the consultant and county staff confirmed that two conversion cutting permits were approved by DNR and the county in 2000 and 2001. Yet, the Habitat Biologist at the time, Kevin Tyler, claimed *“the application is not fully complete for habitat.”*

In response to an HFI email from Angie Merrill, showing the two permits, Kevin Tyler states:

*“Clearing of riparian vegetation and substantial grading has occurred within the 100 foot riparian habitat conservation zone of the type Np stream on this property. Clearing of vegetation was carried out within 45 feet of the stream. It appears some logging has occurred on the property both historically, around 2001 and more recently, following the pre-application conference in 2007. I can find no evidence of a forest Practices permit on file for parcel 120170-000, but did find a SEPA case from 2000 for some logging on the property. The applicant may need to contact the county forester about any potential need for a Forest Practices permit*

*In order to comply with the Habitat Conservation Ordinance the applicant will need to apply for a habitat permit and prepare a restoration plan for any clearing within the riparian habitat conservation zone on this property.”*

**CCCU Notes:** This narrative shows that Mr. Tyler ignored the proof of the two conversion cutting permits presented by the forest consultant and Ms. Merrill, and made up his own story. The GIS 2002 aerial photo shows the land had been clear cut of merchantable timber with only brush and a few small trees, left on the ground. DNR recognized the lone stream on the South property line of the Halberg property, with a 29 foot buffer. No other stream was mentioned on the permits or noted in any stream maps. The DNR permit numbers are 2900399 – Class IVG – 1-31-2001 and 2903490 – Class III-30 – 7-16-2001.

Fast forward to the Halberg building permit application and the Wetland and Habitat Determination. CCCU sees that the old preliminary plat map of 2008, was just rolled over by AKS Surveying, without any careful verification of the data. The narrative on that plat map, filed on December 24, 2015 in Book 4, Page 74, states:

***“The purpose of this survey is to short plat the cited deed into two (2) residential lots as shown. The field work, plat preparation and drafting was performed while I was employed at Lawson Land Services, Inc. (2008). This short plat was never recorded. I was contacted by the original owner/client in August of 2015 to complete this project. We redrafted the plat into our format and verified the corner locations on site to confirm the correct locations.”***

**CCCU NOTES:** In other words, AKS simply rolled over the old incorrect preliminary survey map, that was not recorded, checked the corner markers and filed it in the Clark County Survey Plat Records. AKS did no verification of the accuracy of the other data on the plat map particularly showing a North-South stream with 75 foot buffers. None of which exists in any verifiable official federal or state stream document. It is this plat map Brent Davis used for the justification of the Wetland and Habitat Determination.

In the HABITAT REVIEW HAB 2017-00122, for parcel # 9860381540, located at 26311 NE 207th Street, Battle Ground, Washington 98604, staff continued to use this questionable plat map in the TYPE I STAFF REPORT AND DECISION, issued March 2, 2018. Under **PERMIT CONDITIONS** it states:

**Item #2** – *The Applicant shall retain all trees, woody vegetation and wood debris on site that is outside the indicated and approved project area but within the riparian management area. The riparian habitat conservation zone shall be maintained in a natural state.*

**CCCU NOTES:** What this says is that this landowner cannot use two thirds of his land, according to the attached buffer map, for a garden, orchard, play field, swimming pool, pasture or any other ordinary use, allowed in the five acre zone. The Halbergs are being told they cannot touch a large portion of their land and must leave it in the state it is in today. All of these directives are being based on a questionable plat map.

**Item # 4** – *The recorded declaration or altered plat shall show the seventy-five (75) foot. two hundred (200) foot and the adjusted riparian conservation zones on the face of the plat.*

**CCCU NOTES:** The “recorded declaration and altered plat” was based on flawed questionable data on a 2008 preliminary plat map for a short plat, that was not official or recorded at that time nor verified at the time of formal filing.

**Item # 5** – *Prior to final plat approval the Applicant shall fully implement the internal riparian zone averaging plan and the restoration plan using the equivalent of three hundred (300) stems per acre as required. (see condition 6).*

**CCCU NOTES:** The “internal riparian zone averaging plan and the restoration plan” was based on flawed questionable data on a 2008 preliminary plat map for a short plat, that was not an official document or recorded at that time.

**Item # 6** – *Prior to final occupancy the Applicant shall implement a restoration plan including internal riparian zone averaging within the seventy-five (75) foot riparian zone cleared during grading. Approximately seven thousand four hundred (7,400) square feet (.17 acres) after averaging shall be replanted with Douglas Fir trees using a forestry standard of three hundred (300) stems per acre. As such the Applicant shall plant fifty-one (51) Douglas fir trees in the indicated mitigation area.*

**CCCU NOTES:** The “internal riparian zone averaging within the seventy-five (75) foot riparian zoned cleared during grading” was based on flawed questionable data on a 2008 preliminary plat map for a short plat, that was not official or recorded at that time.

In **BACKGROUND / ANALYSIS** it states:

**Item #2** – **Scotts Short Plat (PDL2008-00052) was approved in February of 2009 but never recorded.....**

**CCCU NOTES:** The Short Plat was filed but the preliminary plat map wasn't. The building processes were well underway, when it was discovered that map had not been filed. CCCU believes it was not filed because it was preliminary and inaccurate, and the survey company knew it. They likely did not want to lose their license by filing and signing onto a document they knew was not correct.

**Item # 3** – *Riparian Habitat exists on the subject parcel because two tributaries of Salmon Creek flow through the property. A Department of Natural Resource (DNR) Type NS (non-fish bearing seasonal) stream originates on the property and flows into a DNR Type F (fish bearing) stream that flows through the Southeast corner of the property.*

**CCCU NOTES:** No DNR stream map, or any other state or federal jurisdictional stream map indicates “there is a Type Ns steam originating on the property and flowing into a DNR Type F stream”. To be jurisdictional, a stream must have an underground source, a bed, bank and channel. Those items don't exist in the

**location called a “Ns stream”.**

*"A DNR Type Ns stream requires a seventy- five (75) foot riparian Habitat Conservation zone (HCZ). The riparian HCZs extend horizontally outward from the Ordinary High Water mark (OHWM) seventy-five (75) feet or two hundred (200) feet respectively. The former of the two measurements apply to both streams."*

**CCCU NOTES: The information regarding the DNR specifications are correct, but they don't apply to a fictitious “stream” that staff claims travels North to South, on the map for the Halberg property. Staff makes their claims based on a “plat map” and not the DNR stream maps. The plat map of 2008 was preliminary and a stream that was drawn on the map was a dictation by county staff, and not determined by best available science.**

**Item # 4 – Scott's Short Plat was recorded February 03, 2016 and is vested for five years. Building envelopes were established on the Short Plat that are outside riparian habitat on the property. ....**

**CCCU NOTES: But the preliminary plat map used continuously from 2008 to today, was an incorrect map with portions of it being dictated by county staff. The information on the map was never verified by AKS as to its accuracy, before they formally filed it.**

**Item # 5 – Unpermitted clearing/grading occurred on parcel 986038-154 outside of the established building envelope and within riparian habitat that resulted in code enforcement actions (ESE 2017-00195). The code enforcement officer required the applicant to apply for the property grading permit. The Applicant applied for grading permit GRD 2017-00058.**

**CCCU NOTES: The “unpermitted clearing/grading” were assumptions and not fact based. But this didn't stop staff from filing those assumed actions with code enforcement which resulted in another cost for the Halbergs for a grading permit that wasn't warranted.**

**Item #7. Because the location of the proposed home and grading that occurred is outside of the building envelope and is within riparian habitat, a habitat permit and Plat Alteration is required for development.. The Applicant applied for a habitat permit (HAB2017-00122).**

**CCCU NOTES: Here again, staff is imposing another cost against the Halbergs for a habitat permit that was not warranted. All of these financial and personal impositions were a result of the false 75 foot buffer on a imaginary Type Ns steam that doesn't exist.**

**Item # 8. The Applicant proposes Riparian Zone Averaging to reduce the riparian zone....**

**CCCU NOTES: The Applicant did not propose that Riparian Zone Averaging be applied to their land. That requirement was dictated by Staff. The process was applied to areas of his property that had already been mitigated for the fake**

**“stream” in the Scott’s Short Plat process. By using the RZA, even more of the Halberg land was consumed by regulation and a covenant.**

In two document letters filed with the county, HFI consultant states:

***“HFI Consultants – Scott Lee Habitat Plantings***

***To comply with Conditions of Approval listed in PLD2008-00052 Staff Report and Decision, under item D,3c, the landowner has planted 300 trees per acre (12X12 spacing) on the portion of the parcel within the 75 foot riparian habitat zone as required. The plantings were Douglas fir seedlings. 12-18 inches in height planted in April of 2009 and inspected by Tim Halme, Forester of HFI Consultants on June 10, 2009. 100% of the planted trees have survived and are in good health and vigor. Enclosed are photographs of the planted trees. HFI has verified that the trees were planted in the correct location and at the correct spacing.***

***Tim Halme, HFI Consultants”***

On October 27, 2008, a letter was sent to Kevin Tyler by Fax @ 759-7561, regarding the Scott’s Short Plat PLD2008-00052 Habitat, Page 1 of 11. It states in **Comments:**

***Kevin,***

***Enclosed is the DNR permit information I emailed you about. Give a call if you have any questions. Thanks!***

***Tim***

The rest of the information in the fax included all the documents pertaining to the two conversion harvest DNR permits # 2903099 and # 2903490, which CCCU has copies of in the Halberg notebook, for review.

**Clark County Citizens United, Inc. believes there is ample evidence in the record that demonstrates a mapping error whereby staff incorrectly determined a Type Ns DNR stream with a 75 foot buffer. Given the facts, all the buffering and mitigation required by Mr. Halberg, was also incorrect and unnecessary. Because of the incorrect designations, no covenant should have been required. Therefore, according to WAC 332-130-050 Survey Map Requirements, the short plat mapping error must be corrected. In addition, the outstanding covenant must be removed from the Norbert Halberg property, and a Permanent Occupancy Permit issued.**

Sincerely,

Carol Levanen, Exc. Secretary

Clark County Citizens United, Inc.  
P.O. Box 2188  
Battle Ground, Washington 98604

[WACs](#) > [Title 332](#) > [Chapter 332-130](#) > [Section 332-130-050](#)

HTML has links - PDF has Authentication

[Print This Page](#)

[332-130-040](#) << 332-130-050 >> [332-130-060](#)

[Agency filings affecting this section](#)

[PDF](#) **WAC 332-130-050**

**Survey map requirements.**

The following requirements apply to land boundary survey maps and plans, records of surveys, plats, short plats, boundary line adjustments, and binding site plans required by law to be filed or recorded with the county.

(1) All such documents filed or recorded shall conform to the following:

(a) They shall display a county recording official's information block which shall be located along the bottom or right edge of the document unless there is a local requirement specifying this information in a different format. The county recording official's information block shall contain:

(i) The title block, which shall be on all sheets of maps, plats or plans, and shall identify the business name of the firm and/or land surveyor that performed the survey. For documents not requiring the surveyor's certificate required by RCW [58.09.080](#), the title block shall show the name and business address of the preparer and the date prepared. Every sheet of multiple sheets shall have a sheet identification number, such as "sheet 1 of 5";

(ii) The auditor's certificate, where applicable, which shall be on the first sheet of multiple sheets; however, the county recording official shall enter the appropriate volume and page and/or the auditor's file number on each sheet of multiple sheets;

(iii) The surveyor's certificate, where applicable, which shall be on the first sheet of multiple sheets and shall show the name, license number, signed seal of the land surveyor who had responsible charge of the survey portrayed, and the date the land surveyor approved the map or plat. Every sheet of multiple sheets shall have the signed seal of the land surveyor and the date signed;

(iv) The following indexing information on the first sheet of multiple sheets:

(A) The section-township-range and quarter-quarter(s) of the section in which



the surveyed parcel lies, except that if the parcel lies in a portion of the section officially identified by terminology other than aliquot parts, such as government lot, donation land claim, homestead entry survey, townsite, tract, and Indian or military reservation, then also identify that official subdivisional tract and call out the corresponding approximate quarter-quarter(s) based on projections of the aliquot parts. Where the section is incapable of being described by projected aliquot parts, such as the Port Angeles townsite, or elongated sections with excess tiers of government lots, then it is acceptable to provide only the official GLO designation;

(B) Additionally, if appropriate, the lot(s) and block(s) and the name and/or number of the filed or recorded subdivision plat or short plat with the related recording data;

(b) They shall contain:

(i) A north arrow;

(ii) The vertical datum when topography or elevations are shown;

(iii) The basis for bearings, angle relationships or azimuths shown. The description of the directional reference system, along with the method and location of obtaining it, shall be clearly given (such as "North by Polaris observation at the SE corner of section 6"; "Grid north from azimuth mark at station Kellogg"; "North by compass using twenty-one degrees variation"; "None"; or "Assumed bearing based on..."). If the basis of direction differs from record title, that difference should be noted;

(iv) Bearings, angles, or azimuths in degrees, minutes and seconds;

(v) Distances in feet and decimals of feet;

(vi) Curve data showing the controlling elements.

(c) They shall show the scale for all portions of the map, plat, or plan provided that detail not drawn to scale shall be so identified. A graphic scale for the main body of the drawing, shown in feet, shall be included. The scale of the main body of the drawing and any enlargement detail shall be large enough to clearly portray all of the drafting detail, both on the original and reproductions;

(d) The document filed or recorded and all copies required to be submitted with the filed or recorded document shall, for legibility purposes:

(i) Have a uniform contrast suitable for scanning or microfilming;

(ii) Be without any form of cross-hatching, shading, or any other highlighting technique that to any degree diminishes the legibility of the drafting detail or text;

(iii) Contain dimensioning and lettering no smaller than 0.08 inches, vertically, and line widths not less than 0.008 inches (equivalent to pen tip 000). This provision does not apply to vicinity maps, land surveyors' seals and certificates.

(e) They shall not have any adhesive material affixed to the surface;

(f) For the intelligent interpretation of the various items shown, including the location of points, lines and areas, they shall:

(i) Reference record survey documents that identify different corner positions;

(ii) Show deed calls that are at variance with the measured distances and directions of the surveyed parcel;

(iii) Identify all corners used to control the survey whether they were calculated from a previous survey of record or found, established, or reestablished;

(iv) Give the physical description of any monuments shown, found, established or reestablished, including type, size, and date visited;

(v) Show the record land description of the parcel or boundary surveyed or a reference to an instrument of record;

(vi) Identify any ambiguities, hiatuses, and/or overlapping boundaries;

(vii) Give the location and identification of any visible physical appurtenances such as fences or structures which may indicate encroachment, lines of possession, or conflict of title.

(2) All signatures and writing shall be made with permanent black ink.

(3) The following criteria shall be adhered to when altering, amending, changing, or correcting survey information on previously filed or recorded maps, plats, or plans:

(a) Such maps, plats, or plans filed or recorded shall comply with the applicable local requirements and/or the recording statute under which the original map, plat, or plan was filed or recorded;

(b) Alterations, amendments, changes, or corrections to a previously filed or recorded map, plat, or plan shall only be made by filing or recording a new map, plat, or plan;

(c) All such maps, plats, or plans filed or recorded shall contain the following information:

(i) A title or heading identifying the map, plat, or plan as an alteration, amendment, change, or correction to a previously filed or recorded map, plat, or plan along with, when applicable, a cross-reference to the volume and page and auditor's file number of the altered map, plat, or plan;

(ii) Indexing data as required by subsection (1)(a)(iv) of this section;

(iii) A prominent note itemizing the change(s) to the original map, plat, or plan. Each item shall explicitly state what the change is and where the change is located on

the original;

(d) The county recording official shall file, index, and cross-reference all such maps, plats, or plans received in a manner sufficient to provide adequate notice of the existence of the new map, plat, or plan to anyone researching the county records for survey information;

(e) The county recording official shall send to the department of natural resources, as per RCW [58.09.050\(3\)](#), a legible copy of any map, plat, or plan filed or recorded which alters, amends, changes, or corrects survey information on any map, plat, or plan that has been previously filed or recorded pursuant to the Survey Recording Act.

(4) Survey maps, plats and plans filed with the county shall be an original that is legibly drawn in black ink and is suitable for producing legible prints through scanning, microfilming or other standard copying procedures. The following are allowable formats for the original that may be used in lieu of the format stipulated above:

(a) Any standard material as long as the format is compatible with the auditor's recording process and records storage system. Provided, that records of survey filed pursuant to chapter [58.09](#) RCW are subject to the restrictions stipulated in RCW [58.09.110\(5\)](#);

(b) An electronic version of the original if the county has the capability to accept a digital signature issued by a licensed certification authority under chapter [19.34](#) RCW or a certification authority under the rules adopted by the Washington state board of registration for professional engineers and land surveyors, and can import electronic files into an imaging system. The electronic version shall be a standard raster file format acceptable to the county.

(5) The following checklist is the only checklist that may be used to determine the recordability of records of survey filed pursuant to chapter [58.09](#) RCW. There are other requirements to meet legal standards. This checklist also applies to maps filed pursuant to the other survey map recording statutes, but for these maps there may be additional sources for determining recordability.

## **CHECKLIST FOR SURVEY MAPS BEING RECORDED**

(Adopted in WAC 332-130)

The following checklist applies to land boundary survey maps and plans, records of surveys, plats, short plats, boundary line adjustments, and binding site plans required by law to be filed or recorded with the county. There are other requirements to meet legal standards. Records of survey filed pursuant to chapter [58.09](#) RCW, that comply with this checklist, shall be recorded; no other checklist is authorized for determining their recordability.

### **ACCEPTABLE MEDIA:**

- Acceptable media are:

Any standard material compatible with county processes; or, an electronic version of the original.

- All signatures must be made with black ink.
- The media submitted for filing must not have any material on it that is affixed by adhesive.

### **LEGIBILITY:**

- The documents submitted, including paper copies, must have a uniform contrast throughout the document.
- The documents submitted must be legible and reproducible by the auditor's recording system regardless of media used for recording.
- No information, on either the original or the copies, should be obscured or illegible due to cross-hatching, shading, or as a result of poor drafting technique such as lines drawn through text or improper pen size selection (letters or number filled in such that 3's, 6's or 8's are indistinguishable).
- Signatures, date, and seals must be legible on the prints or the party placing the seal must be otherwise identified.
- Text must be 0.08 inches or larger; line widths shall not be less than 0.008 inches (vicinity maps, land surveyor's seals and certificates are excluded).

### **INDEXING:**

- The recording officer's information block must be on the bottom or right edge of the map.
  - A title block (shows the name of the preparer and is on each sheet of multiple sheets).
  - An auditor's certificate (on the first sheet of multiple sheets, although Vol./Pg. and/or AF# must be entered by the recording officer on each sheet).
  - A surveyor's certificate (on the first sheet of multiple sheets; seal, date, and signature on multiple sheets).
- The map filed must provide the following indexing data:
  - S-T-R and the quarter-quarter(s) or approximate quarter-quarter(s) of the section in which the surveyed parcel lies.

### **MISCELLANEOUS:**

- If the function of the document submitted is to change a previously filed record, it must also have:
  - A title identifying it as a correction, amendment, alteration or change to a previously filed record.
  - A note itemizing the changes.
- For records of survey:
  - The sheet size must be 18" x 24".
  - The margins must be 2" on the left and 1/2" for the others, when viewed in landscape orientation.
  - In addition to the map being filed there must be two prints included in the submittal; except that, in counties using imaging systems fewer prints, as determined by the auditor, may be allowed.

[Statutory Authority: RCW [58.24.030](#), [58.24.040](#), [58.09.050](#), and [58.17.160](#). WSR 22-04-049, § 332-130-050, filed 1/27/22, effective 2/27/22; WSR 20-23-021, § 332-130-

050, filed 11/6/20, effective 12/7/20. Statutory Authority: RCW [58.24.040](#)(1). WSR 19-01-045, § 332-130-050, filed 12/13/18, effective 1/13/19. Statutory Authority: RCW [58.24.040](#)(1) and [58.09.110](#). WSR 00-17-063 (Order 704), § 332-130-050, filed 8/9/00, effective 9/9/00. Statutory Authority: RCW [58.24.040](#)(1). WSR 89-11-028 (Order 561), § 332-130-050, filed 5/11/89; Order 275, § 332-130-050, filed 5/2/77.]

**Reviser's note:** The brackets and enclosed material in the text of the above section occurred in the copy filed by the agency.

---

Bottom of Form

Clark County Citizens United, Inc. P.O. Box 2188 Battle Ground, Washington 98604 E-Mail [cccuinc@yahoo.com](mailto:cccuinc@yahoo.com)