

From: [Oliver Orjiako](#)
To: [Jeffrey Delapena](#)
Subject: FW: history of smaller rural and resource lot development in Clark County
Date: Monday, October 21, 2024 8:22:33 AM

Hi Jeff,

FYI. For the comp plan index of record. Thanks.

From: Clark County Citizens United, Inc. <cccuinc@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 19, 2024 2:46 PM
To: Gary Medvigy <Gary.Medvigy@clark.wa.gov>; Karen Bowerman <Karen.Bowerman@clark.wa.gov>; Michelle Belkot <Michelle.Belkot@clark.wa.gov>; Glen Yung <Glen.Yung@clark.wa.gov>; Sue Marshall <Sue.Marshall@clark.wa.gov>; Kathleen Otto <Kathleen.Otto@clark.wa.gov>; Oliver Orjiako <Oliver.Orjiako@clark.wa.gov>; Jose Alvarez <Jose.Alvarez@clark.wa.gov>; Brent Davis <Brent.Davis@clark.wa.gov>; CommDev OA Land Use <CommDevOALandUse@clark.wa.gov>; Eric Golemo <egolemo@sgaengineering.com>; Summer Steenbarger <summer@preservingtheharvest.net>; Tyler Castle <wfivancouver@gmail.com>
Subject: Fw: history of smaller rural and resource lot development in Clark County

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Clark County Council
P.O. Box 5000
Vancouver, Washington 98666

October 19, 2024

FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD AND THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

Re: History of smaller rural and resource lot development in Clark County

Dear Councilors,

Clark County Citizens United, Inc. History can confirm that throughout the rural and resource lands of Clark County, small lots were the norm. These patterns of small lot developments were in existence prior to the development of the 1994 Clark County comprehensive plan. It's not likely that many of them were created past 1994. The unique, local circumstances in rural Clark County helped to establish these historical patterns of land development, that was used for residential, commercial farm or forestry use. These patterns of historical development have been ignored in all of Clark County's comprehensive plans. A mere 17% of the rural and resource lots conform to their zoning size, according to the Berk Report, 2012.

**Written in the Superior Court of Washington for Clark County, No. 96-2-00080-2, April 4, 1997 ,
Judge Edwin Poyfair; FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER:**

"The Board's interpretation was erroneous, and the County's decision to follow the Board's lead was unfortunate. The result is a plan that gives little regard for the realities of existing rural development in direct contradiction of the terms of the GMA."

This Superior Court Order needs to be acknowledged by Clark County, with corrective actions.

Sincerely,

Susan Rasmussen President

Clark County Citizens United, inc.
P.O. Box 2188
Battle Ground, Washington 98604

Sent from Windows Mail

From: cnldental@yahoo.com

Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2014 12:08 PM

To: cnldental@yahoo.com, [susan rasmussen](#), [Leah Higgins](#), [Rick Dunning](#), [Rita Dietrich](#), [Jerry Olson](#), [Fred Pickering](#), [Jim Malinowski](#), [Frank White](#), [Benjamin Moss](#), [Lonnie Moss](#), [Melinda Zamora](#), [Nick Redinger](#), [Curt Massie](#), [Marcus Becker](#), [Zachary McIsaac](#), [Clark County Citizens United Inc.](#)

I have received the legal letter regarding the EIS, to the commissioners, from Zak and will print it and deliver it to the commissioners today, before the meeting.