

Jenna Kay

From: Monica Zazueta <zazuetamonica0813@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 4, 2025 10:09 AM
To: Jenna Kay; Amy Koski; Sylvia@mosaicresolutions.com; Dana Hellman; Nicole Metildi; Harrison Husting; tlunsford@parametrix.com; Ben Duncan
Subject: Policies that did not get highlighted

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

BEGIN DISCUSSION*****I don't believe we got to it but I circle back if that's possible.

This policy that I edited was given a score of 2a, substance concern, discuss. Community feedback was incorporated in the editing of this policy.

G19- P9

Collaborate with C-Tran, Tri-Met, Community in Motion, city and regional partners, local employers, and community-based organizations to provide education, marketing, and incentives, including expanded free transit for students of all ages and offering "frequent flyer" type incentives. This aims to encourage the habit of using transit, build ridership until buses are full, and prioritize overburdened communities by removing barriers to accessing these services.

Explained in simple terms:

The plan is to work together with various groups like transportation companies (C-Tran and Tri-Met), local businesses, and community organizations. The goal is to teach people about public transportation, promote it, and offer special deals, such as free bus rides for students and rewards for regular users. This will help more people use the buses, especially those who might find it hard to access these services. By doing this, we want to make sure that buses are busy and that everyone, especially those in need, can easily get around.

I don't believe this got discussed and I don't see it changed in the draft.

G19-P12

I agree with Jessica Brown to add after the first sentence, 'improve pedestrian connections to transit and neighborhood business districts.'

G19-P15

I agree with Jessica to add 'promote electric buses'

It was given a 2b score and I don't see a minor edit in the draft.

Don's comment for the same policy got a score of 3a and 3d, but I don't see specific, implementation consideration in the draft.

Don's comment: improvements to include safe, accessible, and convenient bike, pedestrian, and wheelchair routes and crossings to school. Design complete communities including employment centers so that most daily needs can be met by walking or biking.

END DISCUSSION*****I don't believe we got to it but I circle back if that's possible.

BEGIN QUICK CHECK*****THE POLICIES IN THE SUBCOMMITTEE ARE 3, 5, 6 and 8 BUT I BELIEVE THERE ARE SOME MISSING FROM THE DISCUSSION AFTER I CHECKED THE CAG COMMENTS. 20, 21, 29, 30, and 32. I believe with the confusion at the last meeting on how the policies we're going to be gone through I think it is vital that we add these policies to the subcommittee. I am willing to discuss policy 32 with a staff member or via email.

G20

Sunrise comment to G20 was giving a score of 3a and 3d.

Her comment: I can live with but do really like this idea: provide or incentivize on-site solar panels at charging stations. Whatever possible to deliver renewable energy, shade, and resilient.

3a is specific implementation, consideration. Will part of this be implemented in one of the policies?

I was also given a 3a and 3e for my comment for G21-P3 about the same wording with incentivizing on site solar panels.

G21

Sunrise made a comment that was given a scoring of 2a and 3f, substance concern, discuss, comment

Sunrise comment:

While I like the idea in a way, buying EVs are still out of reach for many people.

I would like to hear more from EJC on this. What I have heard in other meetings with them is that this is not a reality and shows a level of being out of touch with reality. The current incentives while they are nice in theory

there were issues around working with dealerships and it is still unobtainable by many. I am not sure what the solution is. I would like to talk about it with the team more.

Since Sunrise was not able to prepare and had concerns about being rushed, I was hoping that the ones that wanted to be discuss that didn't get discussed from quick check could move to the subcommittee.

G29

Jessica Brown's comment was about expanding the counties recycling program to include plastic clam shells. I am advocating for plastic policy and I'm bringing this up because another CAG member is also concerned about plastic. The score was given a 3b, outside of scope, but with the resources that I've been providing, it actually fits within the scope of greenhouse gas reductions and resiliency.

G29-P4

My comment was given a 3e, consistent with existing wording but I'm not finding schools on the list. Is there another word that defines schools? Because I see households, businesses, county-led programs and community-based programs.

G30

Sunrise gave a comment that was given 2a substance concern, discuss,

I would like to see sustainable packaging and low carbon construction materials as a requirement. Not just saying prioritize. I'm okay with saying prioritize goods locally since we cannot always find local products.

G32-P1

My comment was given 3g, staff don't recommend for another reason not listed here

We will empower decentralized, participatory decision-making structures, such as citizens(community) assemblies and commons-based resource management, leveraging the collective intelligence of local communities. By fostering collaborative education and actively engaging with experts, we will enhance the capacity and technical expertise of local government staff in climate change and environmental justice. This approach ensures continuous improvement in community-wide policy, equitable implementation, and resilience, promoting a robust framework for effective governance.

After last Wednesday's meeting, I believe this is something that should be discussed further.

G32-P1

My comment was given 3g, staff don't recommend for another reason not listed here

Develop climate element priority policies in greenhouse gas emissions inventory updates in alignment with a mandatory 5-year Department of Commerce Climate Element monitoring and reporting schedule. Conduct a 2 and 1/2 year check-in to identify implementation progress, successes, and barriers, providing immediate support as needed to inform priorities for the next 5-year cycle.

A lot happens in 5 years and I think there should be check-ins every 2 and 1/2 years.
