

**From:** [Jeffrey Delapena](#)  
**To:** [Jordan Hamann](#); [Cnty 2025 Comp Plan](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Pass the Amended Comp Plan DEIS Resolution  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 15, 2025 9:03:21 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[image003.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)

---

Good morning, Jordan,

Thank you for submitting comments regarding Resolution No. 2025-04-xx. These will be entered into the Comprehensive Plan Index of Record.

Best regards,



**Jeff Delapena**  
Program Assistant  
COMMUNITY PLANNING

564.397.4558



---

**From:** Jordan Hamann <jordanoflongsley@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 15, 2025 9:02 AM  
**To:** Cnty 2025 Comp Plan <comp.plan@clark.wa.gov>  
**Cc:** Sue Marshall <Sue.Marshall@clark.wa.gov>; Glen Yung <Glen.Yung@clark.wa.gov>; Wil Fuentes <Wil.Fuentes@clark.wa.gov>; Michelle Belkot <Michelle.Belkot@clark.wa.gov>; Matt Little <Matt.Little@clark.wa.gov>; Rebecca Messinger <Rebecca.Messinger@clark.wa.gov>  
**Subject:** Pass the Amended Comp Plan DEIS Resolution

**EXTERNAL:** This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

All,

Please approve the Amended Resolution contained in Separate Business item #2, which would omit options from the Comp Plan DEIS that would require the de-designation of

resource lands or surface mining overlay designations as well as discontinue the countywide resource lands study, AS IS.

Performing a resource lands study does sound like an important next step, but to hastily tack it onto the Comp Plan is extremely irresponsible. Upon successful completion and submission of the Comp Plan, a resource lands study could be organized and started. But a good one will take years to be full and comprehensive; trying to justify knocking one out in less than 3 months is, again, suspiciously irresponsible.

Once resource lands have low-density housing subdivisions, etc., built on them their efficacy as a resource land is forever diminished, if not ruined. We should be more intentional about preserving a critical mass of agricultural lands in the County. We can't eat housing. There are plenty of lands within the UGA that are underutilized and could be more responsibly developed rather than hunting down the next set of farmer's fields for inefficient, infrastructure-intensive, development.

I am not anti-growth, but I am anti-sprawl. And I am pro-farmer, pro-rural, pro-open space, and pro-revenue. Please approve this resolution and be responsible; there is more to the County than the housing crisis.

Thank you,

Jordan Hamann  
Orchards