## Susan Ellinger

From: Susan Ellinger

**Sent:** Friday, April 5, 2024 1:29 PM

To: James Kessi; Elizabeth Decker; Jose Alvarez

**Cc:** Steve Waugh; Brent Davis

Subject: RE: FW: DEAB Comments and Additional Requested Changes - Middle Housing &

Smaller Single-Family Proposed Code Changes Summary - Drafts of UDC 40.220 -

Urban Residential Districts - for 2025

#### Hi James -

You are correct, the housing ordinance that will be considered on April 16 only includes zero lot line developments as a permitted use in the R1-5, R1-6 and R1-7.5 zones. We will discuss internally about the possibility of including this in the next round of housing code changes, but that phase will focus on multi-family and regulated affordable housing code.

I have copied Brent Davis on this email, as this seems like a possible biannual code change. Thank you!



# Susan Ellinger She/her/hers Planner III COMMUNITY PLANNING

564.397.4516







From: James Kessi < james.kessi@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 4, 2024 3:17 PM

To: Elizabeth Decker <edecker@jetplanning.net>; Jose Alvarez <Jose.Alvarez@clark.wa.gov>; Susan Ellinger

<Susan.Ellinger@clark.wa.gov>

Cc: Steve Waugh <swaugh@hsr-capital.com>

Subject: Re: FW: DEAB Comments and Additional Requested Changes - Middle Housing & Smaller Single-Family

Proposed Code Changes Summary - Drafts of UDC 40.220 - Urban Residential Districts - for 2025

### HI All,

Here is an item to add to the top of list of requested additional changes that did not get done with this round, but should be considered with the next code update - in 2025?

Please confirm that this request has been received and entered into the system for future reference and changes.

thanks

**James** 

Item #1 40.260.260-p. Zero Lot Line Developments should be a Permitted uses and to be allowed in all 5 zones-

## Should be updated to include Permited P in the <u>R1-10 and 1-20 zones</u> as well.

*Table 40.220.010-1. Uses needs to be updated - as per attached* - to be consistent to allow Zero Lot Line Uses as P- Permitted in all 5 zones.

Item #1 for this Table line p. Zero Lot Line Developments each of the 5 zones in this needs to be updated to "P" - permitted (similar to line t. Compact Lot Developments)

		Table 40.22	0.010-1. Use	s		000
	R1-20	R1-10	<b>R</b> 1-7.5	R1-6	R1-5	Special Standards
<del>m</del> <u>n.</u> Residential P.U.D.	R/A	R/A	R/A	RA	R/A	40.520.080
# o. Single- family attached dwelling units (townhouses)	R/A P2	<u>R/A_P</u> 2	<u>R/A P</u> <sup>2</sup>	R/A_P <sup>2</sup>	R/A P <sup>2</sup>	40.260.155 <del>40.520.080</del>
e p. Zero lot-line developments	P *	Р <u>х</u>	p <del>R/A</del>	P R/A	P R/A	40.260.260
P.q. Residential care homes and facilities	С	С	С	С	С	40.260.180
<del>q <u>r</u>.</del> Temporary dwellings	P	P	P	P	P	40.260.210
Fs. Cottage housing	<u> </u>	<u><b>X</b>-P</u>	P	P	P	40.260.073
t. Compact lot developments	<u>P</u>	<u>P</u>	P	<u>P</u>	P	40.260.072
<mark>s u</mark> . Staffed residential homes	С	С	С	С	С	40.260.205
<ol> <li>Services,</li> <li>Business.</li> </ol>						
a. Temporary modular tract sales and construction offices	P	P	P	P	P	
b. Model homes	P	P	P	P	P	40.260.175
<ul> <li>c. Roadside farm stand</li> </ul>	P	P	P	P	P	40.260.025

May 31, 2023 Draft based on code downloaded January 3, 2023

T (360) 991-9300 E <u>James.Kessi@gmail.com</u>

On Wed, Oct 25, 2023 at 9:24 AM James Kessi < james.kessi@gmail.com > wrote:

Hi Elizabeth, I also forgot to ask did you have any questions on the specific changes to the code sections that I had outlined? Did any of them not make sense? Do you see positive reasons to get those changes made? Let me know. Thanks, James.

On Tue, Oct 10, 2023 at 3:11 PM Elizabeth Decker <edecker@jetplanning.net> wrote:

Hi James.

I'm still working through these and some other changes discussed at our forum meeting last week, and I'll be in touch next week if I have further questions.

Thanks,

ED

On Fri, Oct 6, 2023 at 11:48 AM Elizabeth Decker <edecker@jetplanning.net> wrote:

Hi James,

Thanks for compiling and thinking through how these draft standards could be applied. I'm not available this afternoon to discuss, just heading out to another commitment. I want to take some time to read through the comments next week and I'll be in touch after that.

Thanks, and have a good weekend.

Elizabeth

On Fri, Oct 6, 2023 at 9:45 AM James Kessi < <u>james.kessi@gmail.com</u>> wrote:

HI Elizabeth,

I would also like to discuss some of these issues with you.

Are you available anytime this afternoon for a quick call between noon and 3pm?

thanks

James

James Kessi P.E. Kessi Engineering & Consulting

Civil Engineering - Stormwater - Planning

T (360) 991-9300 E <u>James.Kessi@gmail.com</u>

On Thu, Oct 5, 2023 at 4:41 PM James Kessi < james.kessi@gmail.com > wrote:

Hi County and All,

- a. We agree and support the DEAB Consolidated recommended code changes as attached.
- b. In addition, there are five (5) additional critical housekeeping changes that we are requesting to be made (see attached 01.1 Attachment A-Council Summary Memo & Code 6-30-23 w JK Item 1-5 Changes 9-1-23.pdf)
  We have tried to actually use the current draft standards and apply it to an actual 20 acre site in the R1-10 zone and actual 8 acre site in the R1-6 zone (as compared to the current zoning standards) and discovered several issues that should be changed and need to be updated to improve the code.
- c. To highlight the additional critical points, the 5 important critical changes Items #1, #2, #3, #4, #5 are show as attached and as requested below to be made to the code before it goes to the next meeting or next step are as follows and as attached.
- d. Please let me know if you have any questions and comments.

thanks James

James Kessi P.E.
Kessi Engineering & Consulting
Civil Engineering - Stormwater - Planning
T (360) 991-9300 E James.Kessi@gmail.com

Item #1 40.260.260-p. Zero Lot Line Developments should be a Permitted uses and allowed in all 5 zones.

**Table 40.220.010-1. Uses needs to be updated - as per attached - to be consistent to allow Zero Lot Line Uses as P- Permitted in all 5 zones.** 

Item #1 for this Table line p. Zero Lot Line Developments each of the 5 zones in this needs to be updated to "P" - permitted (similar to line t. Compact Lot Developments)

		Table 40.22	0.010-1. Tse	s		
	R1-20	R1-10	<b>R</b> 1-7.5	R1-6	R1-5	Special Standards
<del>m</del> <u>n</u> Residential P.U.D.	R/A	R/A	R/A	RA	R/A	40.520.080
no. Single- family attached dwelling units (townhouses)	R/A P2	<del>R/A</del> P <sup>2</sup>	<u>R/A P</u> 2	R/A P <sup>2</sup>	R/A P <sup>2</sup>	40.260.155 40.520.080
e p. Zero lot-line developments	P <del>X</del>	Р <u>х</u>	p <del>R/A</del>	P R/A	P R/A	40.260.260
pg. Residential care homes and facilities	С	С	С	С	С	40.260.180
<del>q <u>r</u>.</del> Temporary dwellings	P	P	P	P	P	40.260.210
<u>r s</u> . Cottage housing	<u> </u>	<u><b>X</b>-P</u>	P	P	P	40.260.073
t. Compact lot developments	<u>P</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>P</u>	P	40.260.072
<mark>s u</mark> . Staffed residential homes	С	С	С	С	С	40.260.205
<ol> <li>Services, Business.</li> </ol>						
a. Temporary modular tract sales and construction offices	P	P	P	P	P	
b. Model homes	P	P	P	P	P	40.260.175
<ul> <li>c. Roadside farm stand</li> </ul>	P	P	P	P	P	40.260.025

May 31, 2023 Draft based on code downloaded January 3, 2023

Item #2. - For Table 40.220.010-4. Single-Family Attached (Townhouse) Lot Standards, Minimum Lot Area - <u>needs to be updated to Minimum AVERAGE lot Area - to be consistent with the other definitions.</u>

- 12 Interior side setbacks for lots that abut pedestrian accessways in a tract may be
- reduced by fifty percent (50%) when pedestrian accessways are required per Section
- 14 40.350.015(E).
- 15 Lot coverage for lots that abut pedestrian accessways may be increased by ten
- 16 percent (10%) when pedestrian accessway in a tract or easement are required per
- 17 Section 40.350.015(E).

## 18 Item #2 change to Minimum Average Lot Area

Table 40.220.010-4/Single-	Family Att	ached (Tow	nhouse) Lo	t Standards			
Subject	R1-20	R1-10 R1-7.5		<u>R1-6</u>	<u>R1-5</u>		
Min. to Max. Residential Density (d.u./acre) <sup>1</sup>	1.4 – 5.4	<u>2.9 – 10.2</u>	<u>4.1 – 21.8</u>	<u>5.1 – 21.8</u>	6.2 – 21.8		
Minimum lot area (sq. ft.)	4,000	3,000	2,000	2,000	2,000		
Minimum lot width (feet) <sup>2</sup>	2	25	<u>18</u>				
Minimum lot depth (feet)		<u>70</u>	<u>50</u>				
Maximum building height for dwelling (feet)	<u>35</u>						
Maximum building height for detached garage (feet)	18						
Front setback for dwelling (feet)3	<u>10</u>						
Front setback to garage door (feet)	<u>18</u>						
Street side setback (feet)	<u>10</u>						
Side setback (feet) <sup>3,4,5,7</sup>	<u>0 or 5</u>						
Rear setback (with no alley) (feet)4.7	<u>0 or 5</u>						

May 31, 2023 Draft based on code downloaded January 3, 2023

Item #3 - in the Compact Development Standards 40.260.072 - request to remove the 3 acre size limitation. The Compact Standards should have the added flexibility to be used in all 5 zones and for any size parcel.

Clark County Code 40.260 SPECIAL USES AND STANDARDS

Page 5/21

- 1. 40.260.072 Compact Lot Developments
- 2. A. Purpose.
- 3. The purposes of the compact lot development provisions are:
- 4. <u>1. To encourage development of underutilized and challenged parcels within developed portions</u>
- 5. of the Low-Density Residential Districts by providing flexibility for smaller, more efficient housing.
- 6. 2. To diversify the county's housing stock by providing a variety of housing types, sizes and price

- 7. points that responds to changing household sizes and ages, such as retirees, small families, and
- 8. single-parent households.
- 9. 3. To encourage development in existing residential areas by allowing a density bonus and design
- 10. flexibility.
- 11. 4. To mitigate potential impacts of density and narrow lots through site design balancing
- 12. community connections and privacy.
- 13. <u>5. To integrate developments into existing neighborhoods.</u>
- 14. B. Applicability.
- 15. 1. Compact lot developments are permitted on parcels created prior to the adoption date of this
- 16. ordinance that meet all of the following criteria:
- 17. a. The parcel is three (3) acres or smaller in area, excluding any critical areas, public rights-
- 18. of-way, private road easements, or street tracts; and
- 19. b. The proposed development can and will be served by urban services at the time of final
- 20. plat or development approval. For the purposes of this Chapter, "urban services" shall mean
- 21. public water and sewer service as described in Chapter 40.370.
- 22. 2. Compact lot developments may not be used in conjunction with a Planned Unit Development
- 23. per Section 40.520.080.
- 24. 3. Compact lot developments shall also be subject to the Narrow Lot Standards of Section
- 25. 40.260.155.

## Item #4 See Attached Page 8/12 Item #5 See Attached Page 8/12

Clark County Code 40.220 LIRRAN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS add "and Attached" Item #4 This is Unclear and seems to be made clear to also apply to Attached Housing as well.

shall be calculated based on the gross area of the site minus any public rights-of-way, 2 private road easements, or street tracts. For developments that include middle 3 housing the maximum density shall be calculated on an equivalent lot area basis as 4 follows: The adjusted gross area, in acres, times the maximum density times the 5 minimum lot area for single-family detached dwellings in the zone shall equal the 6 total maximum allowed lot area, which may be divided into any combination of 7 middle housing lots, each meeting the applicable minimum lot size for the housing 8 type proposed.

9 <sup>2</sup> Average for each individual lot.

> <sup>3</sup>The average minimum lot width and depth sh pedestrian accessways to include one-half (1/2

Item #5 the side yard setback should be changed to 5' and the rear yard changed to 10' to be consistent with the other code like the Attached and Compact Standards and to allow the flexibility to accomdated when the pedestrian accessway is required per wider plans and for ADUs and for single level plans that need setback width flexibility to be ADA accessible

	1	Γable 40.220.	010-3. Se	tback	s, Lot Cove	erage and l	Building H	eight	
Minimum Setbacks					Maximum Lot Coverage <sup>13</sup>				
Zoning		Side <sup>4,5,10,11,12</sup>		T		Single-	Duplex &		Maximum Building
District Front <sup>3</sup> (feet)	Street (feet)	Interior	1	(feet)	family detached	Single- family w/ ADU	Triplex & Quadplex	Height (feet)	
R1-20	108	10	10		20	50	0%1	n/a	357
R1-10	10 <sup>8</sup>	10	5 -		10 45-	50	)% <sup>1</sup>	n/a	35 <sup>7</sup>
R1-7.5	108	10	5		10	50%1	55%	60%	357
R1-6	10 <sup>8</sup>	10	5		10	<del>50</del> <u>55</u> %²	60%	65%	357
R1-5	10 <sup>8</sup>	10	5		10	50 60% <sup>2</sup>	60%	65%	357

13 14

15

16

10

11

12

Carports and solar energy systems are excluded from this provision; provided, that the total lot coverage limitation is not exceeded by more than ten percent (10%) as a result of these exceptions.

17 18 Solar energy systems are excluded from this provision; provided, that the total lot coverage limitation is not exceeded by more than ten percent (10%) as a result of this exception.

20 21

19

<sup>3</sup> Front setbacks shall be measured from the edge of any street right-of-way, street tract, street easement, or driveway easement that provides access to the lot, including any separate pedestrian easement that may exist between a street and the front setback line.

22 23 24

25

Setbacks to driveway and pedestrian easements that do not provide access to a subject lot shall be a minimum of five (5) feet.

James Kessi P.E. **Kessi Engineering & Consulting** Civil Engineering - Stormwater - Planning

Forwarded message
FYI
From: Susan Ellinger < Susan. Ellinger@clark.wa.gov > Sent: Friday, September 8, 2023 3:50 PM To: Steve Waugh < Swaugh@hsr-capital.com > Subject: RE: DEAB Comments or Most Recent Requested Changes
Hi Steve –
Please find attached the DEAB comments we discussed that include comments up until the day of the hearing. The DEAB comments are at the very end with subsequent comments that were related in date order from newest to oldest.
You may also want to check out the public comment files on the project webpage for comments made since the 25-23 hearing (they are too large to email):
https://clark.wa.gov/community-planning/housing-options-study-and-action-plan
There are some comments from the BIA that you may have interest in seeing.
Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks!

She/her/hers Planner III COMMUNITY PLANNING

564.397.4516

From: Steve Waugh < <a href="mailto:swaugh@hsr-capital.com">sent: Friday, September 8, 2023 10:14 AM</a>
To: Susan Ellinger < <a href="mailto:susan.Ellinger@clark.wa.gov">susan.Ellinger@clark.wa.gov</a>

Subject: DEAB Comments or Most Recent Requested Changes

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Steven L. Waugh

Partner

500 E. Broadway, Suite 120

Vancouver, WA 98660

Cell: 360-903-4239

Email: <a href="mailto:swaugh@hsr-capital.com">swaugh@hsr-capital.com</a>