



Your Future. Your Voice.

CLARK2045

Growth Management Plan Update



Comment
Online

Open House: Agricultural Lands Study • September 30, 2025

Please use the QR code above to comment online or fill out this sheet in pen and drop it in the comment box. **Please print clearly!**

Name: _____

Louis RIVARA

Address: _____

La Center

1. Please describe what you think are the most important WAC criteria to support agriculture that is sustainable and commercially viable for the long-term in Clark County. For reference, here is a summary of the criteria:

- The availability of public facilities, including roads used in transporting agricultural products;
- Tax status, including whether lands are enrolled under the current use tax assessment under chapter 84.34 RCW;
- The availability of public services;
- Relationship or proximity to urban growth areas;
- Land use settlement patterns and their compatibility with agricultural practices;
- Predominant parcel size, which may include smaller parcels if contiguous with other agricultural resource lands;
- Intensity of nearby land uses;
- History of land development permits issued nearby;
- Land values under alternative uses; and
- Proximity to markets.

Land value, in some cases, under alternative uses can better benefit the county than ag. land that has not/will not be used as ag. for decades,

2. Do the maps shown at the open house this evening align with on-the-ground conditions, and are there additional indicators of agricultural production capability or agricultural commercial significance that should be included?

Some ag. parcels have no ag. equipment or facilities nearby for support. Land that is not productive is a waste of resources that impacts everyone adversely,

*** Please see other side ***



Your Future. Your Voice.

CLARK2045

Growth Management Plan Update



Comment
Online

(Response 2 continued)

3. How should food security be evaluated in our analysis?

Does current land use support food security? If any ag. land is not in use, and is publicly owned, community gardens should be established. If private ag. land is likely never to be used for ag. purposes (near freeway, marginal access, etc.), this alternative uses should be explored that would enhance for security.

4. Is there anything missing that we should consider?

Options should be explored that would allow agricultural land that is decades fallow to be converted to other beneficial uses. This might business or commercial use that would produce tax revenue, which could then be used create food security programs and other benefits to the region. Ag. land that has no likelihood of ever being used for ag. purposes is useless. Find creative ways within guidelines to create benefit.

Would you like to be added to our comprehensive plan notification list? If so, please print your e-mail address clearly here: RIVARA 21 @ COMCAST.NET

Other ways to comment:

- Online comment form: <https://bit.ly/2025CPComments>
- Email: comp.plan@clark.wa.gov
- Mail: Community Planning, Comp. Plan Comments, PO Box 9810, Vancouver, WA 98666

Thank you for participating!

For other formats, contact the Clark County ADA Office 564.397.2322 / 711 or 800.833.6388 / ADA@clark.wa.gov