Treate a PBRS (public benefit atty systems) forestry & wildlinge preservation.

**Agricultural Advisory Commission** 

Meeting 9/30/25 at 5:30pm-8:30pm. Battle Ground Community Center 912 E Main St.

Submitted 9/30/2025 6y Steve Malberg 21312 2W 17th CT 98642

Currently designated agricultural lands (and zoning) in light of the development of new housing and infrastructure.

I encourage the council to support these issues, to protect agricultural lands:

- 1. Maintain the AG-20 zone category (and AG-WL, AG-20, FR-40, FR-80, R-5, R-10 and R-20);
- 2. Any efforts to change a property from its AG-20 zone (or one of the zones listed in #1) category to another zone category must have the consent of the property owner;
- The county must not plan for roadways to be placed across AG-20 zone lands) or one of the zones listed in #1) unless the property owner consents to such, and conforms with the state's Comprehensive Growth Plan;
- 4. Establish a zone category similar to AG-20, which allows lots smaller than 20 acres, to encourage the conservation of lands for the purposes of agriculture and/or forestry and/or wildlife habitat;
- 5. Any effort to incorporate AG-20 zone (or one of the zones listed in #1) into an Urban Growth Boundary or into a city, must have the consent of the property owner, as rules in the city and urban growth boundary are different and more restrictive than the rules in unincorporated Clark County, and may adversely affect farming, forestry and/or wildlife activities; IF IN UGB, not eligible for VSDA/
- 6. Include forestry protection and preservation, and wildlife habitat protection and preservation, in the recommendations.

7. There are many specialty forms in the R-Szase cutegory that should not be excluded from this study present of berries, regetables, hay, orchards, etc.

8. Ensure dedispration of n-5 or A520 adoption property numericals.

Address: 2 1312



Open House: Agricultural Lands Study • September 30, 2025

Please use the QR code above to comment online or fill out this sheet in pen and drop it in the comment box. **Please print clearly!** 

1.	Please describe what you think are the most important WAC criteria to support agriculture that is sustainable and commercially viable for the long-term in Clark County. For reference, here is a summary of the criteria:  The availability of public facilities, including  • Predominant parcel size, which may include
•	roads used in transporting agricultural products; Tax status, including whether lands are enrolled under the current use tax assessment under chapter 84.34 RCW; The availability of public services; Relationship or proximity to urban growth areas; smaller parcels if contiguous with other agricultural resource lands; Intensity of nearby land uses; History of land development permits issued nearby; Land values under alternative uses; and
•	Land use settlement patterns and their • Proximity to markets. compatibility with agricultural practices;
2.	Do the maps shown at the open house this evening align with on-the-ground conditions, and are there additional indicators of agricultural production capability or agricultural commercial significance that should be included?

\* \* \* Please see other side \* \* \* \*



Ontine	
L.	

## Other ways to comment:

address clearly here: \_

- Online comment form: <a href="https://bit.ly/2025CPComments">https://bit.ly/2025CPComments</a>
- Email: comp.plan@clark.wa.gov
- Mail: Community Planning, Comp. Plan Comments, PO Box 9810, Vancouver, WA 98666

Thank you for participating!

For other formats, contact the Clark County ADA Office 564.397.2322 / 711 or 800.833.6388 / ADA@clark.wa.gov