

From: [Jeffrey Delapena](#)
To: [Cnty 2025 Comp Plan](#); [Peter L. Fels](#)
Cc: [Oliver Orijako](#); [Jose Alvarez](#); [Jenna Kay](#)
Subject: RE: Comprehensive Plan Update Comments
Date: Monday, November 24, 2025 11:57:04 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

Good day, Peter,

Thank you for this feedback related to the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the 2025 Comprehensive Plan Update.

I have forwarded your comments to Staff and will enter these into the Index of Record.



Jeff Delapena
Program Assistant
COMMUNITY PLANNING

564.397.4558



NOTICE OF PUBLIC DISCLOSURE: This e-mail account is public domain. Any correspondence from or to this e-mail account may be a public record. Accordingly, this email, in whole or in part may be subject to disclosure pursuant to RCW 42.56, regardless of any claim of confidentiality or privilege asserted by an external party.

From: Clark County <webteam@clark.wa.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 24, 2025 11:13 AM
To: Cnty 2025 Comp Plan <comp.plan@clark.wa.gov>
Subject: Comprehensive Plan Update Comments

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



Submitted on Mon, 11/24/2025 - 11:12 AM

First Name

PETER

Last Name

FELS and Nancy Helget

Email Address

PLFELS@GMAIL.COM

Phone Number

[3607373154](tel:3607373154)

Organization/Agency Name

none

Address

5121 NW FRANKLIN ST
VANCOUVER, Washington. 98663

Message Subject

COMP PLAN DEIS

Comments

At several places the DEIS says VMTs will be greater with Alternative #1 than for Alternative #2. I believe this is incorrect. Alternative #1 (no expansion) will require increased density of existing urban areas. It seems this will also mean people will have less need to travel outside the urban cores, thus fewer VMTs.

The drafters of the DEIS do not show the reasoning or calculations that are the basis for their conclusions on this issue. Those need to be reviewed and examined before the DEIS is final.

Because VMTs are an important component of total carbon emissions, having the correct information is vital. The final comprehensive plan should project the best outcome for the environment and global warming. This will not happen if the DEIS is incorrect.

Please review the information and analysis of how Alternative #1 compares to the other models with regard to VMTs.

