

**From:** [Jeffrey Delapena](#)  
**To:** [Jessica Becker](#); [Cnty 2025 Comp Plan](#)  
**Cc:** [Oliver Orijako](#); [Jose Alvarez](#); [Bart Catching](#); [Jenna Kay](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Comprehensive Plan Update Comments  
**Date:** Monday, December 1, 2025 10:16:59 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[image003.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)

---

Good day, Jessica,

Thank you for your feedback related to the 2025 Comprehensive Plan Update.

I have forwarded your comments to Staff and will enter these into the Index of Record.



**Jeff Delapena**  
Program Assistant  
COMMUNITY PLANNING

564.397.4558



**NOTICE OF PUBLIC DISCLOSURE:** This e-mail account is public domain. Any correspondence from or to this e-mail account may be a public record. Accordingly, this email, in whole or in part may be subject to disclosure pursuant to RCW 42.56, regardless of any claim of confidentiality or privilege asserted by an external party.

---

**From:** Clark County <webteam@clark.wa.gov>  
**Sent:** Saturday, November 29, 2025 8:01 PM  
**To:** Cnty 2025 Comp Plan <comp.plan@clark.wa.gov>  
**Subject:** Comprehensive Plan Update Comments

**EXTERNAL:** This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



Submitted on Sat, 11/29/2025 - 8:00 PM

**First Name**

Jessica

**Last Name**

Becker

**Email Address**

[bellaj1018@gmail.com](mailto:bellaj1018@gmail.com)

**Address**

Yacolt, Washington. 98675

**Message Subject**

Preserve agricultural and forest lands in Comprehensive Update

**Comments**

Hello,

I am writing about the need to preserve agricultural and forest lands in the 2025 Comprehensive Update. Any preferred alternative in the 2025 Comprehensive Plan Update should preserve every last acre of agricultural and forest land in Clark County. It is valuable and irreplaceable. This is supported by the 2025 Agricultural Lands Study finding that 96 percent of the Agricultural Designations (31,400 acres) in the county are classified as Prime Farmland or Farmland of Statewide Importance, as well as other findings.

It is my understanding that this agricultural lands study was launched in the first place to see if agricultural lands could be de-designated. It is CLEAR from the study that this is not the case. Given this study's findings, any efforts to de-designate agricultural lands would be uncalled for and absolutely not in the best interest of county residents, tax payers, farmers, and families.

Alternative 2 not only exceeds land capacity needs, but it also heavily impacts agricultural and forest lands. This is not an acceptable alternative. Alternative 3 is even worse and can absolutely not be considered. There is no reason to de-designate land outside the growth needs. That can be done later if justified. There is no justification now and would only serve special interests like real estate, construction, and other land development interests.

Alternative 1 is the only reasonable alternative to protect our county's irreplaceable forest and agricultural lands. As stated in the Environmental Impact Study, Alternative 1 is the most efficient use of the land.

Thank you.

