

**From:** [Jeffrey Delapena](#)  
**To:** [carolim-wa@comcast.net](mailto:carolim-wa@comcast.net); [Cnty 2025 Comp Plan](#)  
**Cc:** [Oliver Orijako](#); [Jose Alvarez](#); [Jenna Kay](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Comprehensive Plan Update Comments  
**Date:** Monday, December 1, 2025 1:43:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[image003.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)

---

Good day, Carol,

Thank you for this feedback related to the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and the 2025 Comprehensive Plan Update.

I have forwarded your comments to additional Staff and will enter these into the Index of Record.



**Jeff Delapena**  
Program Assistant  
COMMUNITY PLANNING

564.397.4558



NOTICE OF PUBLIC DISCLOSURE: This e-mail account is public domain. Any correspondence from or to this e-mail account may be a public record. Accordingly, this email, in whole or in part may be subject to disclosure pursuant to RCW 42.56, regardless of any claim of confidentiality or privilege asserted by an external party.

---

**From:** Clark County <webteam@clark.wa.gov>  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 30, 2025 3:19 PM  
**To:** Cnty 2025 Comp Plan <comp.plan@clark.wa.gov>  
**Subject:** Comprehensive Plan Update Comments

**EXTERNAL:** This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



Submitted on Sun, 11/30/2025 - 3:18 PM

**First Name**

Carol

**Last Name**

Inouye Matthews

**Email Address**

[carolim-wa@comcast.net](mailto:carolim-wa@comcast.net)

**Address**

309 W 28th St  
Vanouver, Washington. 98660

**Message Subject**

Comment on Comprehensive Growth Management Plan Update 2025

**Comments**

I support Alternative 1 - No Action. No change of the current urban growth boundaries best supports the preservation of agricultural and undeveloped lands in Clark County. Protecting these lands should be a priority in order to maintain the rural character of our county. The soils, climate, water, and nearby markets make Clark County an ideal location for the production of an abundant and diverse source of food for our community. Loss of open agricultural land adversely impacts water quality by degrading stream and interfering with groundwater recharge. Fish and wildlife habitat is also adversely affected when land is lost to development. And the future opportunity to grow food is lost with development.

I am startled by the lack of mention of race and ethnicity in descriptions of households in Clark County. The initial assumptions on which this analysis is based lacks race and ethnicity information and considerations. Because this analysis is lacking racial and ethnic considerations, this document is incomplete making land management decisions based on it questionable. Communities of color have long been adversely affected by land planning decisions. I urge you to consider the racial and ethnic makeup of our county in the final document.

I am whole heartedly against Alternative 3, the alternative with city and county initiated actions. Moving the urban growth boundary for site specific requests, especially those that are non-contiguous with the current urban growth boundary, goes against the intent of the law.