

From: [Jeffrey Delapena](#)
To: [Jim Robison](#)
Cc: [Oliver Orjiako](#); [Jose Alvarez](#); [Rebecca Messinger](#)
Subject: RE: Comment for the Comprehensive Plan Proposals
Date: Wednesday, January 7, 2026 8:16:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

Good day, Jim,

Thank you for providing this feedback ahead of the Joint Hearing to select the Preferred Land Use Alternative for the 2025 Comprehensive Plan Update.

I am forwarding to additional members of Staff. Your comments will be brought to the attention of the Planning Commission and Council ahead of the Hearing. This will also be added to the Index of Record.

Best,



Jeff Delapena
Program Assistant
COMMUNITY PLANNING

564.397.4558



NOTICE OF PUBLIC DISCLOSURE: This e-mail account is public domain. Any correspondence from or to this e-mail account may be a public record. Accordingly, this email, in whole or in part may be subject to disclosure pursuant to RCW 42.56, regardless of any claim of confidentiality or privilege asserted by an external party.

From: Jim Robison <jimrobisonpdx@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 6, 2026 9:14 PM
To: Jeffrey Delapena <Jeffrey.Delapena@clark.wa.gov>
Subject: Comment for the Comprehensive Plan Proposals

You don't often get email from jimrobisonpdx@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Mr. Delapena and Clark County Board Members,

I live in rural Clark County, north of Ridgefield.

While the alternatives being considered for the Clark County Comprehensive Plan do not directly affect us at this location, there is one cumulative impact that I believe affects all of us. The loss of prime agricultural and forest land.

I have reviewed the various studies that are available, and I agree with the recommendations of the Agricultural Advisory Commission in their letter dated December 18, 2025 ([2025-12-18 Agricultural Advisory Commission Recommendation](#)).

Among other conclusions, the letter states "Due to past annexations, urban growth boundary expansions, and rural development patterns, most remaining agricultural lands are now located near urban or non-agricultural uses. Reliance on proximity alone is not a reliable indicator of long-term commercial agricultural significance and risks undermining the County's obligation to conserve agricultural lands."

I believe this is an extremely important consideration.

I strongly encourage you to not adopt alternatives that de-designate agricultural lands.

Jim Robison
33909 NW Pekin Ferry Rd
Ridgefield, WA 98642
503-960-3736