

From: [Jeffrey Delapena](#)
To: "Madeline Lyne"
Cc: [Oliver Orjiako](#); [Jose Alvarez](#); [Rebecca Messinger](#)
Subject: RE: Alternative 1 for Comprehensive Growth Management Plan
Date: Tuesday, April 14, 2026 8:21:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

Good day, Madeline,

Thank you for your comments regarding the Council Hearing to select the Preferred Alternative for the 2025 Comprehensive Plan.

I am forwarding to additional members of Staff, including the Council's office ahead of the Apr. 27th Hearing. This will also be added to the Index of Record.

Best regards,



Jeff Delapena
Program Assistant
COMMUNITY PLANNING

564.397.4558



NOTICE OF PUBLIC DISCLOSURE: This e-mail account is public domain. Any correspondence from or to this e-mail account may be a public record. Accordingly, this email, in whole or in part may be subject to disclosure pursuant to RCW 42.56, regardless of any claim of confidentiality or privilege asserted by an external party.

From: Madeline Lyne <lyne272@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2026 5:56 PM
To: Jeffrey Delapena <Jeffrey.Delapena@clark.wa.gov>
Subject: Alternative 1 for Comprehensive Growth Management Plan

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Jeffrey,

I am a 26 year Camas resident writing to you in support of **adopting Alternative 1 for the upcoming Comprehensive Growth Plan**. I know that Camas is urging the Council to allow it to bring rural lands into its growth boundaries, as are Ridgefield, La Center, and Battleground. These areas are designated prime agricultural lands and farmlands and are important, not only to our county, but to our state. There is no legal reason to expand UGAs because the county's prior decisions state that **ALL** forecasted growth for housing, population, and employment can be achieved within current UGAs. **Alternative 1 is the only alternative that does not expand UGAs.**

De-designating rural lands and bringing them into UGAs with the "justification" of encroaching development, will continue to chip away at these valuable lands. This approach goes against the purpose of conserving these lands. Clark County's own lawyer recently commented that doing so is "the absolute definition of urban sprawl" which, I believe, is the reason for The GMA in the first place, yes?

We need to preserve our agricultural land in Clark County and support local farming to provide the freshest food and other agricultural products to our population. It is not wise to have housing without local agriculture. And once the land is gone it's gone. Let's not be shortsighted and have regrets. In addition, The County is required by law to have a sufficient supply of agricultural lands to sustain a local agricultural economy. The Council should honor the recommendations of the Agricultural Advisory Commission, which voted to maintain all existing agricultural designations and requested a moratorium on upzoning of lands that meet the legal criteria for agricultural designation.

In closing, I strongly urge the Council to consider the needs of the entire county and our collective future and not reward cities, including mine, for failing to propose alternatives for their cities that do not include paving over prime farmland. **Please vote for Alternative 1 for the upcoming Comprehensive Growth Management Plan.**

Thank you,
Madeline Lyne
755 NW View Ridge Street
Camas, WA 98607
360-798-4049

--

Madeline Lyne

Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed citizens can change the world; indeed, it's the only thing that ever has." - Margaret Mead