From: webmaster
To: publiccomment
Subject: Council Hearing Public Comment
Date: Tuesday, October 13, 2026 8:58:10 AM

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Submitted on Tue, 10/13/2026 - 8:57 AM

Name
Jeff Harper

Phone Number
3609014784

Email Address
Jvharper66@gmail.com

Subject
Ordinance 2026-10-01

Date of Hearing
Tue, 10/13/2026

Comment
1. Retain the Nevin expansion denial
The proposed ordinance records the Council's denial of the agricultural de-designation and eastward Camas UGA expansion for the Nevin property. Please retain that decision in the adopted ordinance and ensure the final comprehensive-plan and zoning maps reflect it.
2. Limit growth induced by infrastructure
Please also address the potential for urban infrastructure to induce further growth beyond the adopted UGA. Any infrastructure associated with the Grove Field expansion should have clearly defined service boundaries and safeguards against facilitating urban development on surrounding rural lands. I oppose additional urban expansion into this area and request a written explanation of the safeguards the County will apply.
3. Assess local and cumulative impacts
Before approving changes that could affect this corridor, please provide an assessment of local and cumulative effects on traffic, rural road capacity and maintenance, stormwater, water resources, and wildfire evacuation. That assessment should identify any anticipated effects on SE 296th Avenue and neighboring properties, together with mitigation and funding responsibilities.
4. Confirm the status of the property
Please provide written confirmation of the current and proposed comprehensive-plan designation, zoning, and UGA status for 1313 SE 296th Avenue, including whether this

adoption package changes any of them. Please identify any proposed road improvements or utility extensions that could affect the property or the SE 296th Avenue corridor.

5. Implement voluntary conservation options

Finally, I support implementation of the voluntary Transfer of Development Rights program described in proposed Policy 1.1.24. Please explain the implementation schedule, funding, and process for identifying eligible sending areas, including whether this property or surrounding rural lands could qualify. Please identify other voluntary conservation options available before that program becomes operational.



© 2026 Clark County Washington